

### Ready for the next crisis?

### Investing in Health System Resilience\*

- · Health systems were underprepared
- · Health systems were understaffed
- Health systems suffered from underinvestment.

### Health systems resilience during COVID-19: Lessons for building back better\*\*

"Resilience is the ability of the health system to prepare for, manage and learn from a sudden and extreme disturbance.

It is about maintaining the performance of core health system functions."

Source: \*OECD (2023), Ready for the Next Crisis? Investing in Health System Resilience, OECD Health Policy Studies, OECD Publishing, Paris; \*\*
European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, Sagan, Anna, Webb, Erin, Azzopardi-Muscat, Natasha, de la Mata, Isabel. et al. (2021).



### Comparative overview of DRG implementation in Croatia, Germany and Australia

Criteria for success	Australia	Germany	Croatia
1. Observing goals and building solid foundations for DRGs	Australia has been involved in the development of DRG since the 1970s driven by the realization that being able to measure hospital production would provide considerable benefits to the system by understanding what hospitals do and thus how best to pay for them.	Highlighted objectives: Reform of the payment model for better allocation of funds; increasing the efficiency and quality of services; limiting cost growth. Implementation marked by a strategic step-by-step approach for the development of its own DRG system.	Highlighted goals: reducing costs, rationalizing resource use, improving efficiency and increasing efficiency.  Implementation characterized by ad hoc application of the established DRG variant.
2. Good governance of DRG transition	The Australian federal government is responsible for DRG policy and standards. State governments are responsible for implementation systems and DRG-based payments.	Joint implementation of G-DRGs by the Federal Association of Health Funds, the Private Health Insurance Association and the German Hospital Federation, authorized by the Federal Government.	Implemented and managed by the Croatian Health Insurance Fund (CHIF). In 2007, the AR-DRG system was introduced. Payments and monitoring of DRG-based hospital activities began in 2009.
3. Meeting the technical requirements of DRG system implementation	Australia developed the AR-DRG system based on research started in the 1970s, and the first local variant (AN-DRG) was introduced in 1992. The AR-DRG variant was first available in 1998 and since then new versions have been released every two years. The latest version is AR-DRG v11.0.	The G-DRG system is based on AR-DRG v 4.1. Implementation was carried out over a ten-year period. The DRG classification has been modified to suit local conditions, with groups increasing from 664 groups in 2003 to 1,200 in 2010 and to 1,251 in 2021 with 5 levels of complexity and an additional nursing care system.	The current DRG system is a hybrid of AR-DRGs v.5.2 and 6 localy designed DRG groups (in total 671 groups). Also in 2024 Croatia procured AR-DRG v.11.0 and has started its implementation through DG Reform financed project.
4. Building an efficient DRG based payment model	Efficient payment model: DRG-based payments and budget allocations are used in states. The national government is responsible for state funding and in 2014 introduced the concept of national weighted activities units (NWAUs) for use in determining and paying the hospital budget. years.	Effective payment model: DRG-based payments and budget allocations. The transition to payment from DRG occurred between 2005 and 2009. The hospital payment model, in addition to paying DRG, includes allocations for emergency services, day hospitals, innovation technology, quality of care and adjustment for local conditions.	Payment model: changed over time, The DRG component was 10%. Additional payments for expensive drugs, intervention procedures, especially contracted procedures.  Frequent changes to the unit price that does not correspond to the average cost of production.

### **Methods**

The study relies on publicly available data from institutions/agencies responsible for inpatient DRG data collection and analyses in the involved countries:

The Croatian Health Insurance Fund (CHIF) and Croatian Institute for Public Health (CIPH);

Institute for the Hospital Remuneration System (InEK)

Independent Hospital and Aged Care Pricing Authority (IHACPA), and Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW).

The research is a retrospective, comparative analyses of the hospital admission rate across all DRGs before (2019) and during pandemic (2020-2022).



### **Results**

Comparison of DRG case activity (acute admitted care) before and during COVID-19

	Croatia	Germany	Australia*	Australia** (Public hospitals)	Australia** (Public and Private hospitals)
Pre-COVID phase (2019)	549,957	19,027,795	6,458,614	6,430,118	10,495,725
COVID-19 phase (2020-2022)	464,283	16,462,679	6,476,197	6,434,170	10,607,962
Change (%)	-16	-16	+0.02	+0.01	+1

Source: CHIF, InEK, IHACPA\*, AIHW\*\*, Authors calculations

# Results – MDC case activity (preCOVID-19 vs 1st year of COVID-19 phase

Major Diagnostic Category	Australia Change (%)	Germany Change (%)	Croatia Change (%)
MDC 00 - Pre MDC	+4	-8	+6
MDC 01 Diseases and Disorders of the Nervous System	+1	-15	-22
MDC 02 Diseases and Disorders of the Eye	+1	-14	-46
MDC 03 Diseases and Disorders of the Ear, Nose, Mouth and Throat	-7	-17	-39
MDC 04 Diseases and Disorders of the Respiratory System	-13	-22	-2
MDC 05 Diseases and Disorders of the Circulatory System	-3	-15	-22
MDC 06 Diseases and Disorders of the Digestive System	+2	-14	-21
MDC 07 Diseases and Disorders of the Hepatobiliary System and Pancreas	+2	-18	-23
MDC 08 Diseases and Disorders of the Musculoskeletal System and Connective Tissue	No changes	-6	-22
MDC 09 Diseases and Disorders of the Skin, Subcutaneous Tissue and Breast	-3	-14	-32
MDC 10 Endocrine, Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases and Disorders	+6	-18	-37
MDC 11 Diseases and Disorders of the Kidney and Urinary Tract	+7	-15	-21
MDC 12 Diseases and Disorders of the Male Reproductive System	+2	-8	-30
MDC 13 Diseases and Disorders of the Female Reproductive System	+3	-11	-26
MDC 14 Pregnancy, Childbirth and the Puerperium	No changes	-13	-9
MDC 15 Newborns and Other Neonates	+5	-6	-10
MDC 16 Diseases and Disorders of the Blood and Blood Forming Organs and Immunological Disorders	+1	-2	-22
MDC 17 Neoplastic Disorders (Haematological and Solid Neoplasms)	+6	-13	-10
MDC 18 Infectious and Parasitic Diseases	+8	-5	-13
MDC 19 Mental Diseases and Disorders	-11	-25	-27
MDC 20 Alcohol/Drug Use and Alcohol/Drug Induced Organic Mental Disorder	-3	-10	-32
MDC 21 Injuries, Poisoning and Toxic Effects of Drugs	+3	-22	-27
MDC 22 Burns	-2	-14	-31
MDC 23 Factors Influencing Health Status and Other Contacts with Health Services	-9	-8	-21
MDC: Error and other DRGs	-17	-15	-36

Source: CHIF, InEK, IHACPA, AIHW, Authors calculations

-

## Difference in activity in COVID-19 related DRGs in Croatia (2019 vs 2020)

	DRG Class	2019 Case numbers all hospitals	2020 Case numbers all hospitals	% Change all hospitals
A06Z	Tracheostomy Or Ventilation>95	3,388	3,916	16%
E40Z	Resp Sys Dx + Ventilator Suppt	347	483	40%
E41Z	Resp Sys Dx +Non-Invas Ventiln	874	713	-19%
E62A	Respiratory Infectn/Inflamm+Ccc	2,031	2,255	11%
E62B	Respiratory Infectn/Inflam+Smcc	7,953	10,992	38%
E62C	Respiratory Infectn/Inflamm-Cc	5,128	6,174	20%
	TOTAL	19,721	24,533	24%

Source: CHIF; Authors calculations

# Most common principal diagnosis in Germany (2019 vs 2020)

ICD-10 Code	Principal Diagnosis (2019)	ICD-10 Code	Principal Diagnosis 2020)
Z38.0	Einling, Geburt im Krankenhaus	Z38.0	Einling, Geburt im Krankenhaus
\$06.0	Gehirnerschütterung	\$06.0	Gehirnerschütterung
110.01	Benigne essentielle Hypertonie: Mit Angabe einer hypertensiven Krise	150.01	Sekundäre Rechtsherzinsuffizienz
150.01	Sekundäre Rechtsherzinsuffizienz	N39.0	Harnwegsinfektion, Lokalisation nicht näher bezeichnet
148.0	Vorhofflimmern, paroxysmal	110.01	Benigne essentielle Hypertonie: Mit Angabe einer hypertensiven Krise
150.14	Linksherzinsuffizienz: Mit Beschwerden in Ruhe	150.14	Linksherzinsuffizienz: Mit Beschwerden in Ruhe
M17.1	Sonstige primäre Gonarthrose	148.0	Vorhofflimmern, paroxysmal
R55	Synkope und Kollaps	M17.1	Sonstige primäre Gonarthrose
N39.0	Harnwegsinfektion, Lokalisation nicht näher bezeichnet	080	Spontangeburt eines Einlings
121.4	Akuter subendokardialer Myokardinfarkt	121.4	Akuter subendokardialer Myokardinfarkt
M16.1	Sonstige primäre Koxarthrose	M16.1	Sonstige primäre Koxarthrose
080	Spontangeburt eines Einlings	150.13	Linksherzinsuffizienz: Mit Beschwerden bei leichterer Belastung
E86	Volumenmangel	R55	Synkope und Kollaps
150.13	Linksherzinsuffizienz: Mit Beschwerden bei leichterer Belastung	E86	Volumenmangel
120.8	Sonstige Formen der Angina pectoris	120.8	Sonstige Formen der Angina pectoris
% Total number of cases	13.76%		14.26%

Source: InEK (2024)

## **Neoplasms related admissions (C00-D48)**

	Germany	Croatia
2019	2,022,521	67,208
2020	1,827,142	79,503
Change (%)	-10%	-15%

Source: InEK; CHIF (2024), Principal diagnosis only taken into consideration (C00-D48)

9

### **Reviews**

- Important topic, some of the data sets might impact the quality of the analysis.
- Would be interested in seeing the paper to see if the analyses back up the common view of how Australia faired in comparison to other countries.
- A comparison on how well each countries classification system performed in identifying COVID admissions would be interesting.



#### **Conclusions**

#### · Predictive value of DRG based comparative analyses

"Australia is among only 32 countries and territories, out of 204 studied, to record an increase in life expectancy across the first two years of pandemic.,"

Global age-sex-specific mortality, life expectancy, and population estimates in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1950-2021, and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic: a comprehensive demographic analyses for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021 (The Lancet March 2024).

The European Groundshot Commission analysed data on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic across Europe and found that clinicians saw 1-5 million fewer patients with cancer in the first year of the pandemic, with one in two patients with cancer not receiving surgery or chemotherapy in a timely manner.

 The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the need for timely and comprehensive data collection and reporting

Use of demographic and clinical data collected for each episode of care should be extended beyond budget planning and payment purposes and serve as the transformative, driving force to make better informed policy choices in the future.

